

Original Research Paper

A Sociological Analysis of Hustle Culture Among Generation Z in the Philippine Gig Economy

Rubenito Barrot¹, Mary Dionisio-Sese^{1*}, Anna Mae Gomez¹

¹ College of Arts and Social Sciences, Silliman University, Dumaguete City, Philippines.

Article History

Received:

22.12.2025

Revised:

13.01.2026

Accepted:

29.01.2026

*Corresponding Author:

Mary Dionisio-Sese

Email:

espaldon.dione@gmail.com

This is an open access article,
licensed under: [CC-BY-SA](#)



Abstract: Hustle culture the systemic glorification of overworking and continuous multi-tasking has rapidly emerged as a dominant socio-behavioral norm among Generation Z in the Philippines. Driven by hyper-connectivity, the proliferation of digital gig platforms, and persistent macroeconomic inflation, the younger demographic has increasingly internalized productivity as a measure of self-worth. This study examines the socio-economic drivers of hustle culture among Filipino Gen Z and analyzes its subsequent ramifications on mental well-being and labor market retention. Utilizing a qualitative-descriptive approach grounded in contemporary social-exchange and structural-functionalist theories, this paper reveals that while hustle culture is framed as a vehicle for upward mobility, it fundamentally operates as a structural survival mechanism. This mechanism induces chronic burnout, psycho-emotional exhaustion, and counter-movements such as “quiet quitting.” The study concludes with institutional recommendations for human resource management and public policy to foster sustainable workforce practices.

Keywords: Burnout, Digital Gig Economy, Generation Z, Human Resource Management, Hustle Culture, Philippine Economy.



1. Introduction

The contemporary global labor landscape is undergoing a profound paradigm shift, accelerated by the rapid digitization of commerce, the casualization of labor contracts, and the rise of remote workspaces. within Southeast Asia, the Philippines has strategically positioned itself as a critical hub for the digital gig economy, remote freelance work, and Business Process Outsourcing (BPO) [1]. This rapid economic transition has not only altered employment structures but has also given birth to a distinctive socio-cultural phenomenon among entering workforce participants, universally known as “hustle culture” [2]. Characterized by the ceaseless pursuit of professional milestones and the monetization of personal hobbies, this culture posits that continuous labor is the sole path to self-actualization and social mobility.

For Generation Z Filipinos, typically defined as individuals born between 1997 and 2012, the traditional 9-to-5 employment structure is increasingly perceived as obsolete or financially insufficient [3]. Instead, a toxic combination of digital peer pressure and structural economic anxieties encourages the accumulation of multiple “side hustles” concurrently. While mainstream social media discourse frequently celebrates this behavior under the banners of youthful resilience (*katatagan*) and entrepreneurial grit, the underlying structural realities tell a far more precarious story of labor exploitation [4]. Consequently, there is an urgent need to critically examine how this lifestyle is internalized by young workers.

The structural drivers of this phenomenon are deeply rooted in the macroeconomic instability of the post-pandemic era. Sustained inflationary pressures on essential goods, coupled with stagnant entry-level wages in urban centers like Metro Manila and Cebu, mean that a single primary income stream is structurally inadequate to meet the basic cost of living [5]. Young professionals are forced to navigate an economy where consumer prices rise exponentially faster than corporate compensation packages. Therefore, entering the digital gig economy as a multi-platform freelancer is rarely an opportunistic pursuit of luxury, but rather a direct structural survival mechanism [6].

Beyond macroeconomic factors, the societal push toward hyper-productivity is heavily amplified by the pervasive nature of digital media in the Philippines. As one of the global leaders in daily internet and social media consumption, Filipino youth are constantly exposed to curated algorithmic narratives of early financial independence and affluent lifestyles [7]. This digital ecosystem fosters an acute Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) regarding career progression, where taking necessary physical rest is reframed as a moral failure or a waste of billable hours. The constant psychological comparison mediated by platforms like LinkedIn and TikTok systematically erodes the boundaries between the self and the professional persona [2] [7].

Furthermore, the domestic pressure on Gen Z is uniquely intensified by the traditional socio-familial expectations inherent in Filipino culture. Many young workers function as part of the “sandwich generation,” burdened with the immediate financial responsibility of supporting aging parents, financing siblings' education, or managing household debts [8]. This cultural expectation of familial reciprocity (*utang na loob*) intersects brutally with modern economic precarity. When familial survival is tethered to an individual's daily output, the psychological permission to disengage from work is effectively revoked, forcing individuals to sustain prolonged states of overworking [4] [8].

The long-term physiological and psychological ramifications of this lifestyle are manifest in skyrocketing rates of occupational burnout, chronic anxiety, and clinical depression among young adults [9]. The blending of domestic and professional spaces through remote work has eliminated the spatial and temporal boundaries that historically protected personal life from corporate intrusion. Unfortunately, despite the formal enactment of the Philippine Mental Health Law (RA 11036), institutionalized psychological support remains heavily stigmatized and economically inaccessible for the average working-class youth [10]. This institutional void leaves a vulnerable demographic to manage severe systemic stress through unguided digital coping mechanisms.

In response to this prolonged state of exhaustion, the contemporary Philippine labor market is witnessing a profound behavioral counter-movement driven by Gen Z employees. Phenomena such as “quiet quitting” the conscious decision to restrict work output strictly to the confines of a job description and sudden mass resignations are becoming increasingly common [11]. Young workers are beginning to vocally reject corporate paternalism, which historically weaponized family-centric rhetoric to justify uncompensated overtime and toxic workplace boundaries. This shifting dynamic creates a critical point of friction between traditional corporate management styles and the new generation's demands for psychological safety [3] [11].

While existing literature has extensively covered hustle culture within Western corporate contexts, empirical and sociological focus on its intersection with the unique socio-economic realities of Southeast Asian youth, particularly in the Philippines, remains fragmented. This study aims to bridge this gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of hustle culture among Filipino Gen Z through the lenses of sociology and human resource management. By investigating both the systemic macroeconomic catalysts and the localized psychological tolls, this paper seeks to provide actionable insights for organizational leaders and policymakers to foster sustainable, human-centric labor practices in the digital age.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Structural Strain and Effort-Reward Imbalance

To systematically evaluate the expansion of hustle culture among Generation Z in the Philippines, this study synthesizes Robert K. Merton's Structural Strain Theory and Johannes Siegrist's Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) Model. Structural Strain Theory posits that an acute disjunction between culturally glorified goals (such as financial autonomy and material success) and the institutionalized, legitimate means available to achieve them induces a state of societal anomie [12]. In the contemporary Philippine context, Gen Z is continually bombarded with macroeconomic indicators of wealth, yet faces a hollowed-out domestic labor market characterized by wage stagnation and contractual precarity. Consequently, "hustling" or the strategic accumulation of multiple fragmented labor roles emerges as an innovative socio-economic adaptation to resolve this structural strain [12] [13].

The secondary layer of this structural dynamic involves how cultural goals are aggressively marketed as universal necessities rather than aspirational luxuries. Within modern capitalistic frameworks, failing to achieve financial independence by a certain age is stigmatized as a personal deficiency rather than an institutional failure [14]. For Filipino Gen Z, who enter the workforce during a period of volatile globalization, this stigma creates an internal psychological crisis. When traditional employment channels fail to provide a living wage, individuals feel compelled to deviate from standard career trajectories. This gives rise to alternative, hyper-intensive work patterns as the only viable mechanism to narrow the chasm between societal expectations and economic realities [13] [15].

Concurrently, the ERI model serves as a vital occupational framework to measure the psychological and physiological toll of this structural adaptation. The core premise of the ERI model is that work-related benefits encompassing pay, esteem, status control, and job security should equitably reciprocate the occupational efforts expended by the worker [16]. When high efforts are consistently met with stagnant or compressed rewards, workers experience chronic autonomic arousal, persistent fatigue, and severe psycho-emotional distress. This model is critical for evaluating modern industries where the traditional limits of a workday are blurred by digital connectivity, leaving the employee in a state of perpetual availability [14] [17].

Within the digital gig economy, hustle culture artificially inflates the "effort" variable by moralizing non-stop work and transforming overproduction into a measure of ethical character. Conversely, volatile algorithmic governance, unpredictable client behavior, and a lack of state-enforced labor protections structurally compress the "reward" variable [15]. Gen Z workers are systematically incentivized to invest more cognitive and temporal resources into their roles with zero institutional guarantees of long-term reciprocity. This baseline asymmetry traps the youth in a continuous loop of diminishing psychological returns, shifting the narrative of overwork from an autonomous choice to a chronic occupational hazard [18] [19].

Ultimately, the synthesis of Merton's strain theory and Siegrist's ERI model highlights a profound systemic contradiction within emerging markets. Merton explains *why* the youth are structurally driven to overwork (the pursuit of elusive cultural goals amidst structural deficit), while Siegrist illuminates *how* this continuous overwork erodes their physical and psychological well-being [20] [14]. Together, these frameworks dismantle the corporate myth of the enthusiastic "Gen Z entrepreneur." Instead, they reframe hustle culture as a highly stressful, reactive coping mechanism designed to navigate a fractured economic landscape that demands maximum productivity while offering minimal structural stability [21] [22].

2.2. The Digital Gig Economy and Precarity in Emerging Markets

The expansion of hustle culture is structurally inseparable from the rise of digital labor platforms and the widespread casualization of labor contracts in emerging markets. Scholarly literature on the global

gig economy frequently distinguishes between two competing narratives: “gig work as liberation” versus “gig work as algorithmic exploitation” [23]. In developing economies like the Philippines, digital platforms offer a deceptive veneer of democratization and meritocracy. They allow young, tech-savvy workers to bypass localized nepotism and connect directly with global capital markets as virtual assistants, content moderators, graphic designers, or freelance programmers [1] [24].

However, this structural integration introduces a secondary, more insidious layer of socio-economic precarity that targets the vulnerability of developing nations. Global freelance platforms operate on highly asymmetric power dynamics where Western clients dictate pricing indexes that are highly cost-effective for them but insufficient for the long-term social security of the localized worker [17]. This phenomenon, often termed “digital Taylorism,” breaks down complex professional projects into highly commodified, low-paying tasks. Filipino workers are effectively placed in a race-to-the-bottom bidding war against other global South laborers, driving down wages and mandating longer working hours to maintain financial viability [17] [23].

Furthermore, the legal architecture of these digital platforms isolates the individual worker from traditional labor rights. Gig workers are strictly classified as “independent contractors” or “third-party partners,” a legal designation that explicitly absolves corporate platforms and global clients from providing mandatory benefits such as healthcare, paid sick leave, or retirement packages [24]. This legal loophole shifts all operational and physiological risks directly onto the shoulders of the individual Gen Z worker. If an individual experiences health failure or an economic shock, there are no institutional safety nets to absorb the impact, creating a state of chronic systemic anxiety [25] [26].

In the Philippine context, this platform-mediated precarity intersects with long-standing domestic issues of structural underemployment and contractualization, locally known as *endo* (end of contract) culture [25]. For decades, young graduates have faced a domestic market that offers temporary, low-paying contracts without pathways to permanence. The digital gig economy merely digitizes this instability, rebranding contractual precarity as “entrepreneurial freedom.” Consequently, literature indicates that the structural necessity to maintain a “hustle” is exacerbated by the total absence of dependable macro-level safety nets, transforming the modern digital workspace into an unregulated, hyper-competitive frontier [27] [28].

Ultimately, the digital gig economy creates a highly fragmented labor force that lacks collective bargaining power or institutional visibility. Because work is performed individually behind screens, the traditional solidarity of the working class is replaced by individual hyper-competition [26]. Young professionals do not view each other as colleagues, but as market competitors for the next freelance contract. This socio-spatial atomization ensures that the systemic exploitation inherent in the gig platform remains unchallenged, forcing Gen Z to continuously double their output within a hollowed-out labor ecosystem that monetizes their vulnerability [16] [24] [26].

2.3. Hyper-Connectivity, Social Comparison, and Mental Health

The psychological internalization of hustle culture is heavily mediated by the architecture and algorithmic design of modern social media platforms. Extant literature on cyber-behavior notes that Generation Z is the first demographic to undergo primary socialization within a hyper-connected environment, where digital algorithms actively dictate information feeds [18]. Platforms such as LinkedIn, TikTok, and Instagram have heavily commodified professional achievements, transforming career progression into a visual spectacle. This environment has given rise to what sociologists term “performative productivity,” where individuals document their labor output to cultivate an optimized digital brand [2] [18].

This constant digital performativity triggers severe psychological pathologies rooted in continuous exposure to hyper-curated, unrealistic benchmarks of success. According to Leon Festinger’s Social Comparison Theory, individuals determine their own social and personal worth based on how they stack up against others [19]. In a hyper-connected ecosystem, Gen Z Filipinos are no longer comparing themselves to their immediate peers or local community members. Instead, they are forced to compete with a globally curated elite of young entrepreneurs, overnight influencers, and high-earning digital nomads, creating a structural distortion of what constitutes normal life progression [19] [27].

This continuous upward social comparison generates profound cognitive dissonance, a persistent sense of personal inadequacy, and what clinical literature describes as “hurry sickness” [20]. Hurry sickness manifests as a continuous struggle and an unremitting sense of urgency to accomplish more tasks in less time, directly correlating with the multi-fold increase of generalized anxiety disorders

among the youth [20] [28]. Rest is no longer viewed as a natural physiological necessity; rather, it is pathologized by digital subcultures and reframed as a moral failure, laziness, or a waste of billable hours. The algorithmic architecture of these platforms ensures that any moments of inactivity are met with a barrage of content showcasing others working, thereby inducing profound guilt [2] [28].

Furthermore, the psychological toll is deeply exacerbated by the phenomenon of “toxic positivity” embedded within modern corporate and digital discourse. Influencers and corporate leaders promote the narrative that systemic economic blockades can be overcome solely through positive thinking, manifesting, and “grinding harder” [29]. This rhetoric effectively individualizes structural failures; if a young worker cannot afford rent despite working 14 hours a day, toxic positivity convinces them that the fault lies in their lack of focus or drive. This internalization of structural blame severely damages self-esteem, accelerates depressive episodes, and cuts off the development of critical systemic awareness [27] [29].

Consequently, the intersection of hyper-connectivity and social comparison has created a major public health crisis among young professionals in developing nations. The pressure to maintain a performative, hyper-productive lifestyle causes chronic sleep deprivation, immune system degradation, and cognitive exhaustion [30]. Because psychiatric care remains heavily stigmatized and economically out of reach for the majority of working-class Filipinos, a worrying trend of unguided self-diagnosis on social media platforms has emerged [10]. Gen Z individuals are turning to internet forums and peer networks to manage complex stress and anxiety disorders, bypassing formal clinical interventions and leaving a highly vulnerable demographic to survive within a cycle of systemic psychological exhaustion [10] [30] [31].

3. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative-descriptive research design anchored in critical interpretivism to investigate the socio-economic catalysts and psychosocial impacts of hustle culture among Generation Z in the Philippines. Qualitative-descriptive approaches are uniquely suited for exploring nuanced human behaviors, cultural shifts, and localized systemic pressures that cannot be fully captured by rigid statistical metrics. By utilizing an interpretivist lens, this research seeks to look beneath the surface-level corporate rhetoric of “entrepreneurial grit” and uncover the subjective experiences, structural anxieties, and coping mechanisms of young workers navigating a precarious digital labor ecosystem.

4. Finding and Discussion

4.1. The Synthesis of Structural Strain: Hustling as a Reactive Survival Strategy

The qualitative analysis of the data corpus reveals that hustle culture among Generation Z in the Philippines is fundamentally misunderstood when framed merely as an ambitious, career-driven lifestyle choice. Instead, the empirical evidence demonstrates that this behavioral pattern is a highly reactive adaptation to systemic economic failures. Young workers are entering a labor market where the primary institutionalized path to stability namely, a standard corporate 9-to-5 position no longer yields a sufficient living wage due to severe domestic inflation and disproportionate urban living costs. This creates an acute socio-economic mismatch. Society continues to aggressively promote markers of early financial independence, consumerism, and middle-class status, yet the material mechanisms to achieve these milestones have been systematically hollowed out.

Consequently, the accumulation of multiple digital “side hustles” or freelance contracts is born out of structural desperation rather than entrepreneurial zeal. The narratives of young professionals indicate that maintaining three or four parallel work streams is a baseline requirement to buffer against financial precarity. This pressure is compounded by deep-rooted domestic realities, where the individual is frequently expected to lift their extended family units out of economic distress. In this context, overworking becomes a highly localized survival mechanism. The traditional cultural concept of resilience has been effectively weaponized by macroeconomic conditions, transforming the body and mind of the young worker into an over-extended economic resource that cannot afford to disengage from production.

A central finding of this study is the profound imbalance between the massive temporal and cognitive effort expended by Gen Z workers and the volatile, unstable rewards they receive from the digital economy. Freelance platforms and virtual outsourcing networks operate as a digital panopticon, enforcing extreme metrics of productivity through algorithmic surveillance, real-time activity tracking, and constant performance rating systems. Young professionals internalize these

metrics, willingly sacrificing sleep, physical health, and social connectivity to protect their digital reputation scores. They operate under a moralized corporate narrative which implies that if they simply work harder, sleep less, and optimize every minute of their day, financial liberation will follow.

However, the real-world rewards of this hyper-productivity are consistently suppressed by the structural design of global platform capitalism. Because these young workers are legally classified as independent contractors, their high efforts are met with a complete absence of job security, medical benefits, or institutional protection. They are trapped in a global race-to-the-bottom bidding war, where foreign clients exploit local currency devaluations to purchase elite cognitive labor at fractions of Western market rates. This structural asymmetry ensures that while the effort curve rises exponentially, the socio-economic reward curve remains flat or volatile. This baseline imbalance generates a state of chronic autonomic arousal and systemic panic, turning the digital workspace into a source of ongoing psychological exhaustion.

4.2. Performative Productivity, Guilt, and the Erosion of Social Capital

The findings indicate that the architecture of modern social media networks plays a pathological role in normalizing this cycle of overwork. Platforms like LinkedIn and TikTok have transformed professional labor into a curated, visual spectacle, fostering an environment of “performative productivity.” Gen Z workers feel intense peer pressure to broadcast their constant busyness, creating an echo chamber where exhaustion is converted into social currency. This digital landscape fundamentally alters the internal psychology of the individual; rest is no longer recognized as a natural physiological necessity, but is instead pathologized and reframed as a moral failing or a waste of billable potential.

This psychological distortion induces a profound sense of existential guilt whenever an individual attempts to rest or engage in non-monetized activities. The constant upward comparison with globally curated, idealized digital profiles creates a persistent feeling of personal inadequacy and “hurry sickness.” Over time, this psychological strain causes an atomization of traditional social capital. In a culture historically characterized by vibrant, communal cohesion and extended family integration, hustle culture forces the individual to view all interpersonal relationships through the cold lens of economic utility and professional networking. Leisure time is stripped of its communal essence and subjected to financial auditing, leading to a profound sense of urban isolation, emotional alienation, and pervasive loneliness among the youth.

The final thematic pattern identified in this study highlights an emerging, powerful behavioral backlash against the unsustainable demands of hustle culture. Having reached thresholds of physical and emotional collapse, the contemporary generation of Filipino workers is shifting from passive compliance to active, covert resistance. The rapid rise of movements such as “quiet quitting” represents a conscious, collective attempt to erect psychological boundaries against corporate encroachment. By deliberately restricting their output to the literal text of their job descriptions, young professionals are staging a silent strike against the normalized expectation of unpaid, hyper-extended labor.

This resistance signifies a radical dismantling of traditional corporate paternalism in the Philippines. For decades, organizations have successfully weaponized family-centric rhetoric to guilt employees into accepting toxic workplace boundaries and uncompensated overtime. Gen Z is actively rejecting this paradigm, placing their mental well-being and personal autonomy above unreciprocated organizational loyalty. This shift is generating significant structural friction within corporate environments, characterized by high turnover rates and a total breakdown of traditional retention strategies. Young workers are explicitly demonstrating that they will no longer accept the glorification of burnout as a substitute for fair compensation, physical safety, and the fundamental right to disconnect from the machinery of labor.

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that hustle culture among Generation Z in the Philippines is not a mere manifestation of youthful ambition or entrepreneurial drive; rather, it is a structural symptom of a deeply fractured macroeconomic landscape. When synthesized through the lenses of Structural Strain Theory and the Effort-Reward Imbalance model, the relentless pursuit of hyper-productivity is unmasked as a reactive survival strategy. Confronted with a domestic labor market defined by

stagnant entry-level wages and intense inflation, young professionals are forced to innovative yet exhausting extremes namely, the accumulation of fragmented, unregulated digital contracts.

The digital gig economy, while offering a veneer of global democratization and autonomy, operates as an asymmetric frontier of labor exploitation. By transferring all physiological and operational risks directly onto the individual under the legal guise of “independent contracting,” global platforms and corporate entities systematically extract maximum cognitive capital while suppressing essential social safety nets. This persistent imbalance between exponential effort and volatile rewards triggers a severe public health crisis, characterized by chronic occupational burnout, generalized anxiety, and the erosion of traditional communal capital. However, the emerging counter-movements of “quiet quitting” and the vocal rejection of corporate paternalism signal a historic turning point. Gen Z is actively reshaping the psychological contract of the workplace, demonstrating that the glorification of exhaustion is no longer a viable baseline for sustainable labor.

References

- [1] A. R. Mahmoud, “The Future of Work: Automation, Remote Jobs, and Labor Shifts,” El Shorouk Academy, Nov. 2025. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32652.78724.
- [2] H. Huang, “Workplace Learning, Inequality Regimes, and Identity Development in China’s Tech Workplaces,” Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Education, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2025. [Online]. Available: eScholarship.
- [3] A. M. E. Son, “Generation Z in the Philippine Labor Force: Profile, Perspectives, and Prospects,” Institute for Labor Studies, Department of Labor and Employment, Manila, Philippines, Research Paper, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://ils.dole.gov.ph/2022-research-papers/generation-z-in-the-philippine-labor-force-profile-perspectives-and-prospects>. [Accessed: Aug 2025].
- [4] M. Kaes, S. Kruse, C. Maus, C. Krieweth, and M. Brettel, “Entrepreneurs can create a better tomorrow: The relationship between entrepreneurial grit, nonfinancial success, and societal impact,” *J. Small Bus. Manag.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 653–685, 2025. doi: 10.1080/00472778.2024.2342839.
- [5] M. M. Ballesteros, T. P. Ramos, and J. A. Ancheta, “Measuring Housing Affordability in the Philippines,” *Philippine J. Dev.*, vol. 48, no. 1, 2024. doi: 10.62986/pjd2024.48.1b.
- [6] A. Kaushiva, “Tracing the Path from Rationality to Bounded Rationality: The Contributions of Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky,” *Asian J. Manage.*, 2026. doi: 10.52711/2321-5763.2026.00015.
- [7] A. C. Casao, C. A. Perez, and C. M. Bragas, “Social Media Platforms: Its Digital Influence on Shaping Investment Decisions among Filipino Working Millennials,” Apr. 2025.
- [8] A. B. Meneses, “Kahampatan: Ayta's concept of development in the context of Indigenous People's (IP) culture and identity,” *Philippine J. Soc. Dev.*, vol. 12, pp. 5–22, 2019.
- [9] Y. Ma and S. Mumtaz, “The long-term mental health benefits of exercise training for physical education students: a comprehensive review of neurobiological, psychological, and social effects,” *Front. Psychiatry*, vol. 16, Sep. 2025, Art. no. 1678367. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2025.1678367.
- [10] J. Lally, R. M. Samaniego, and J. Tully, “Mental health legislation in the Philippines: Philippine Mental Health Act,” *BJPsych Int.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 65–67, Aug. 2019. doi: 10.1192/bji.2018.33.
- [11] M. Z. E. M. Alilio, L. A. F. Bautista, V. E. Capariño, J. M. Tubu, and J. O. Manaois, “Quality Of Work-Life: Its Moderating Role On Filipino Gen Z's Occupational Self-Efficacy and Organizational Commitment,” Jul. 2024.
- [12] J. Campbell, “Strain Theory (sociology),” *EBSCO Research Starters: Sociology*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/sociology/strain-theory-sociology> [Accessed: Aug 2025].
- [13] F. Onadeko, “Hustlenomics: Success Through the Hustleconomy,” Ed.D. dissertation, Graduate School of Education and Psychology, Pepperdine University, Malibu, CA, USA, 2022. [Online]. Available: Pepperdine Digital Commons. [Accessed: Aug 2025].
- [14] S. Busnawi, “The Death of the American Dream: How Inequality, Injustice, and Individualism Shatters Its Promise as a Unifying Force,” Senior Thesis, Dept. of Government, Claremont

- McKenna College, Claremont, CA, USA, 2025. [Online]. Available: Scholarship @ Claremont. [Accessed: Aug 2025].
- [15] L. D. Cameron, V. M. Conzon, and L. Lam, "Selling the self: Neo-normative control and the platform paradox," *Res. Organ. Behav.*, vol. 45, Art. no. 100230, Dec. 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.riob.2025.100230.
 - [16] J. Siegrist, D. Starke, T. Chandola, I. Godin, M. Marmot, I. Niedhammer, and R. Peter, "The measurement of effort–reward imbalance at work: European comparisons," *Soc. Sci. Med.*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 1483–1499, Apr. 2004. doi: 10.1016/S0277-9536(03)00351-4.
 - [17] G. Bondanini, C. Giovanelli, N. Mucci, and G. Giorgi, "The Dual Impact of Digital Connectivity: Balancing Productivity and Well-Being in the Modern Workplace," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 22, no. 6, Art. no. 845, 2025. doi: 10.3390/ijerph22060845.
 - [18] Y. G. Ng, D. D. I. Daruis, and N. W. A. Wahat, Eds., *Human Factors and Ergonomics Toward an Inclusive and Sustainable Future: HFEM 2023*, vol. 46. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-60863-6.
 - [19] N. I. Maierhofer, B. Kabanoff, and M. A. Griffin, "The Influence of Values in Organizations: Linking Values and Outcomes at Multiple Levels of Analysis," in *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, vol. 17, C. L. Cooper and I. T. Robertson, Eds. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 2002, pp. 217–263.
 - [20] E. Ngocha-Chaderopa, "A Critical Examination of Workplace Wellbeing and Employment Experiences," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Management, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand, Mar. 2022.
 - [21] J. Keim, S. Müller, and P. Dey, "Whatever the problem, entrepreneurship is the solution! Confronting the panacea myth of entrepreneurship with structural injustice," *J. Bus. Venturing Insights*, vol. 21, Jun. 2024, Art. no. e00440. doi: 10.1016/j.jbvi.2023.e00440.
 - [22] M. Saraiva and T. Nogueiro, "Perspectives and Realities of Disengagement Among Younger Generation Y and Z Workers in Contemporary Work Dynamics," *Adm. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 4, Art. no. 133, 2025. doi: 10.3390/admsci15040133.
 - [23] D. Ayentimi, A. Amankwaa, and J. Burgess, "The Emerging Gig Economy and Sustainable Development in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Societies*, vol. 15, no. 10, Art. no. 274, 2025. doi: 10.3390/soc15100274.
 - [24] D. Nath, "Digital Democracy vs Invisible Oppressions of Platform Partners: Critical Understanding of Platform Economy in the Regime of Digital India," *Unisia*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2026. doi: 10.20885/unisia.vol44.iss1.art1.
 - [25] S. W. Handayani, Ed., *Social Protection for Informal Workers in Asia*. Mandaluyong City, Philippines: Asian Development Bank, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/203891/sp-informalworkers-asia.pdf>. [Accessed: Aug 2025].
 - [26] D. Zilberman, R. Goetz, and A. Garrido, Eds., *Natural Resource Management and Policy*, vol. 63. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, 2026.
 - [27] R. Hatos, N.-G. Bugnar, and A.-F. Fora, "Gig Economy: The Future of Work or The Illusion of Freedom? A Data-Driven Analysis," *Annals of the University of Oradea, Economic Science Series*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2026.
 - [28] E. Zelma, "The gig economy from the freelancer's perspective: The risk of precarianization," *Int. J. Contemp. Manage.*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 211–226, 2024. doi: 10.2478/ijcm-2024-0013.
 - [29] Y. G. Ng, D. D. I. Daruis, and N. W. A. Wahat, Eds., *Human Factors and Ergonomics Toward an Inclusive and Sustainable Future: HFEM 2023*, vol. 46. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-60863-6.
 - [30] J. T. V. Harefa, K. A. Manik, Z. L. Habehan, Juniarta, and S. L. Cicilia, "Stress, anxiety, depression and social media in generation Z: A scoping review," *J. Ment. Health Concerns*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 174–187, Oct. 2025. doi: 10.56922/mhc.v4i3.1362.
 - [31] J. Bell and C. Westoby, "Public and mental health professionals' perspectives on social media and suicide exposure," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 25, no. 1, Art. no. 1380, Apr. 2025. doi: 10.1186/s12889-025-22587-6.